Downselection of observation bandwidth for KAGRA

MG13, Stockholm

Jul. 2012

<u>K.Somiya</u>, K.Agatsuma, M.Ando, Y.Aso, K.Hayama, N.Kanda, K.Kuroda, H.Tagoshi, R.Takahashi, K.Yamamoto, and the KAGRA collaboration

<u>Outline</u>

1. Brief review and current status of <u>KAGRA</u> (previously known as LCGT)

2. Downselection of observation band for KAGRA

<u>GW detectors in Japan</u>





TAMA ('95~)

- observation runs from '99
- 300m baseline

CLIO ('03~)

- cryogenic operation
- thermal noise reduced
- 100m baseline
- Miyoki's talk today



KAGRA ('11~)

- \$200M project approved in 2010 (w/~15% deduction)
- construction started in 2011
- first science run at 300K planned in 2015 (iKAGRA)
- full-scale cryogenic operation will start in 2017~18 (bKAGRA)

International collaboration/support





- Many supports from LIGO/Virgo/GEO
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Collaboration with other Asian countries
- people-to-people cooperation
- ET-KAGRA collaboration (ELITES)
- \cdot JSPS postdoc opportunities for oversea researchers



Cryogenic system



- <1ppm absorption in coatings</p>
- <50ppm/cm absorption in Sapphire substrate</pre>
- radiation from 300K
- heat from scattered light
- DLC coated radiation shield
- 30/15days to cool/heat mirrors





top: cryostat schematic mid: $\frac{1}{4}$ cryo-cooler prototype bot: sample sapphire fiber

KAGRA sensitivity



Downselection of observation band





Strategic choice of the observation band is important

- ~ broadband?
- ~ narrow-band?
- ~ low-freq tuned?

Bandwidth of GW detectors



determined by mirror reflectivity.



Narrowband

Bandwidth of advanced detectors



- Off-resonant SRM makes the bandwidth narrower
- Event rate can be higher for some GW sources

Bandwidth of aLIGO and AdVirgo



- aLIGO=tuned RSE: balanced choice for various sources, less technical difficulties
- AdVirgo=slightly detuned RSE:

high event rate for NS binaries

<u>KAGRA</u>



- \cdot 3km detector in Japan
- construction started in 2011
- <u>underground</u>
- <u>cryogenic</u>



Sensitivity is mostly limited by quantum noise

~ Should we go deep and narrow?

~ Can we shift it to lower-freq?

Bandwidth selection is more essential for KAGRA

Candidate sensitivity curves



tuned/detuned RSE: parameters chosen to maximize the BNS inspiral range (260/300Mpc)

low freq: low-power operation (BNS IR=200Mpc)

* Inspiral range calculated for GWs from the optimal direction

* Some parameters used here have been changed

Discussion points

- GW sources at high/low frequencies
- Estimation accuracy of source parameters
- Variable RSE
- Xylophone with other detectors
- Technical point of view



<u>GW sources at high/low frequencies</u>









BNS inspiral up to 1.57kHz

BH-BH binaries

~ 100Ms BBH inspiral ends at 44Hz and some info unavailable from the ring-down

NS-NS binaries

~ Inspiral range of low-freq config is as high as 200Mpc but we'll miss the merger

Supernovae

- ~ used to be our primary target and cannot be missed
- Pulsars ~ good and bad
- Stochastic ~ cross correlation analysis
- There are too many to lose at high freq

Supernovae

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ It depends on how significant KAGRA can be at low freq

Estimation accuracy of source parameters

~ calculation by Tagoshi

	tuned RSE	detuned RSE
BNS range	260Mpc	300Мрс
10Ms BBH range	570Mpc	680Мрс
event rate (1/yr)	5.4 (1.1~19.1)	8.2 (1.7~28.8)
arrival time error	0.25msec	1.08msec
chirp mass error	2.3e-5	3.7e-5

The narrower the bandwidth, the less accurate the estimation.



- Mirrors are same; power and detune phase are different
- Tuned RSE sensitivities are too shallow with those setups
- * Transformation within 30 sec would be required to see the merger after observing inspirals at 20Hz.



• The best pair of the variable RSE configuration

	tuned (max)	detuned (max)	tuned (opt)	detuned (opt)
range	260Mpc	300Mpc	250Mpc	280Mpc
arr.time error	0.25msec	1.08msec	0.22msec	0.25msec





- Can we do the same as ET Xylophone with AdVirgo to compensate low-freq sensitivity?
- It may give more significance to the global GW community

This won't work like ET as KAGRA and Virgo are geographically separated...

Technical point of view



- Input power 1.5~12W
- Ts 15% -> 12%
- TITM 0.4 -> 0.6%
- Fiber length 120cm
- Fiber thickness 1.4mm
- Max 170mW cooling
- Low RMS motion gives better performance at low frequencies
- Heat issues can be avoided



<u>Comparison with 3G detectors</u>

	aLIGO/AdVirgo	KAGRA	ET/LIGO3
underground	×	Ο	Ο
cryogenic	X	Ο	Ο
heavy mass	X	XX	Ο

These three are the important factors to realize a good sensitivity at low frequencies.

Unfortunately one of them is missing in KAGRA. We've been working on to find a way to resolve this.

<u>Conclusion of the bandwidth study</u>

- We chose slightly detuned RSE compatible with broadband RSE as the KAGRA baseline design (bKAGRA)
- KAGRA-LF is reasonable as it avoids the risk of heat absorption and make the most use of low seismic motion, but there are many scientific disadvantages and the Xylophone option doesn't work well for us
- Therefore, KAGRA goes with bKAGRA configuration



iKAGRA commissioning (- 2015.12)



bKAGRA commissioning 1 (- 2016.9)







NPRO + fiber amplifier + solid-state amplifier